AMENDMENT TO

RULES COMMITTEE PRINT 116-17 OFFERED BY MR. SMITH OF NEW JERSEY

At the end of the bill (before the short title) insert the following:

1	DIVISIONPROTECT OU	$J\mathbf{R}$
2	WORKERS	
3	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.	
4	This division may be cited as the "Protect Our W	Vork-
5	ers Act".	
6	SEC. 2. FINDINGS.	
7	The Congress finds the following:	
8	(1) The Davis-Bacon Act of 1931 (40 U	.S.C.
9	3141 et seq.) requires that contractors and	sub-
10	contractors on certain government projects pay	con-
11	struction workers locally prevailing wages as d	eter-
12	mined by the Department of Labor.	
13	(2) Locally prevailing wages vary by job cl	lassi-
14	fication and consist of a basic hourly rate of pay	and
15	benefits.	
16	(3) Generally, the Davis-Bacon Act applie	es to
17	projects that meet three criteria—	

1	(A) there is a contract for construction in
2	excess of \$2,000;
3	(B) the United States or the District of
4	Columbia is a party to the contract; and
5	(C) the contract is for construction, alter-
6	ation, or repair.
7	(4) Under the Davis-Bacon Act, the Govern-
8	ment may terminate a contract if locally prevailing
9	wages have not been paid to employees working on
10	the project.
11	(5) For close to 90 years, this law has helped
12	ensure quality craftsmanship on Federal projects,
13	protected the standard of living of skilled and
14	trained blue-collar construction workers, improved
15	workplace safety by discouraging low-road contrac-
16	tors from bidding, and stimulated the economy.
17	(6) The Wage and Hour Division of the De-
18	partment of Labor administers the Davis-Bacon Act
19	by, among other things, determining prevailing wage
20	rates and prescribing regulations and standards to
21	be observed by contracting agencies.
22	(7) Contracting agencies, such as the Corps of
23	Engineers, however, have the primary day-to-day re-
24	sponsibility for enforcement of the Davis-Bacon Act
25	and its labor standards requirements. See, e.g., sub-

1	parts 22.406 and 22.407 of the Federal Acquisition
2	Regulation.
3	(8) Some irresponsible contractors and sub-
4	contractors often avoid their prevailing wage obliga-
5	tions by, among other things, engaging in craft and
6	independent contractor misclassification.
7	(9) Craft misclassification refers to the practice
8	in which contractors misclassify high-skilled workers
9	as general laborers or other classifications in order
10	to avoid paying the higher prevailing wage rate ap-
11	plicable to the high-skilled work actually performed.
12	(10) Independent contractor misclassification
13	refers to the practice in which contractors
14	misclassify employees as independent contractors to
15	avoid paying prevailing wages, reduce labor costs,
16	and avoid State and Federal taxes.
17	(11) This practice denies workers access to fed-
18	erally prescribed prevailing wages for the work done
19	and related benefits. Communities also suffer be-
20	cause misclassification results in lower tax revenues
21	for Federal, State, and local governments.
22	(12) In 2000, the Department of Labor com-
23	missioned a study to determine the extent of
24	misclassification, and found that up to 30 percent of

1	audited firms had employees misclassified as inde-		
2	pendent contractors.		
3	(13) In light of the intended Federal invest-		
4	ment for military construction projects for fiscal		
5	year 2020, it is appropriate to investigate the com-		
6	pliance of the Corps of Engineers with the require		
7	ments of the Davis-Bacon Act.		
8	SEC. 3. GAO STUDY.		
9	(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Comptroller General of		
10	the United States shall conduct a study on the contracting		
11	practices of the Corps of Engineers, with a specific focus		
12	on how the Corps of Engineers complies with and enforces		
13	the requirement to pay prevailing wages on federally fi-		
14	nanced construction jobs, as required by subchapter IV		
15	of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code (commonly		
16	referred to as the Davis-Bacon Act). The study shall con-		
17	sider the following:		
18	(1) Any programs or protocols the Corps of En-		
19	gineers has in place for the purpose of carrying out		
20	its Davis-Bacon Act enforcement obligations as set		
21	forth in the Federal Acquisition Regulation.		
22	(2) Any programs or protocols the Corps of En-		
23	gineers has in place for the purpose of identifying		
24	and addressing independent contractor		

1	misclassification on projects subject to the Davis-
2	Bacon Act.
3	(3) The frequency with which the Corps of En-
4	gineers conducts site visits on each covered project
5	to monitor Davis-Bacon Act compliance.
6	(4) The frequency with which the Corps of En-
7	gineers monitors certified payroll reports submitted
8	by contractors and subcontractors on each covered
9	project.
10	(5) Whether the Corps of Engineers accepts
11	and investigates complaints of Davis-Bacon Act vio-
12	lations submitted by third parties, such as contrac-
13	tors and workers' rights organizations.
14	(6) Whether the Corps of Engineers maintains
15	a database listing all contractors and subcontractors
16	who have, in one way or another, violated the Davis-
17	Bacon Act and whether the Corps consults this
18	database as part of its contract award process.
19	(7) The frequency, over the last five years, with
20	which the Corps of Engineers penalized, disqualified,
21	terminated, or moved for debarment of a contractor
22	for Davis-Bacon violations.
23	(8) How the Corps of Engineers verifies that
24	the contractors it hires for its projects are properly
25	licensed.

1 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 1 year 2 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller 3 General of the United States shall submit to the Committee on Education and Labor, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-6 structure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions, the 8 Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report that summarizes the results of the study required 10 under subsection (a), together with any recommendations for legislative or regulatory action that would improve the 12 efforts of enforcing the requirement to pay prevailing wages on federally financed construction jobs.

